

One-carbon metabolism biomarkers and risk of urothelial cell carcinoma in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition

Alina Vrieling^{1b}, H. Bas Bueno-De-Mesquita^{2,3,4,5}, Martine M. Ros^{1,2}, Ellen Kampman^{1,6}, Katja K. Aben^{1,7}, Frederike L. Büchner², Eugène H. Jansen², Nina Roswall^{1b}, Anne Tjønneland⁸, Marie-Christine Boutron-Ruault^{9,10,11}, Claire Cadeau^{9,10,11}, Jenny Chang-Claude^{12,13}, Rudolf Kaaks^{1b}, Steffen Weikert^{14,15}, Heiner Boeing¹⁴, Antonia Trichopoulou^{16,17}, Pagona Lagiou^{16,18,19}, Dimitrios Trichopoulos^{17,18,19†}, Sabina Sieri²⁰, Domenico Palli^{1b}, Salvatore Panico²², Petra H. Peeters^{3,23}, Elisabete Weiderpass^{24,25,26,27}, Guri Skeie²⁴, Paula Jakszyn²⁸, María-Dolores Chirlaque^{29,30}, Eva Ardanaz^{29,31}, María-José Sánchez^{29,32}, Roy Ehrnström³³, Johan Malm³⁴, Börje Ljungberg³⁵, Kay-Tee Khaw³⁶, Nick J. Wareham³⁷, Paul Brennan³⁸, Mattias Johansson^{38,39}, Elio Riboli³ and Lambertus A. Kiemeny^{1,40}

¹Radboud University Medical Center, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

²National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

⁴Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁵Department of Social & Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

⁶Division of Human Nutrition, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands

⁷Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁸Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁹Inserm, Center for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health (CESP), U1018, Nutrition, Hormones and Women's Health team, Villejuif, France

¹⁰Université de Paris-Sud, Villejuif, France

¹¹IGR, Villejuif, France

¹²Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Germany

¹³Cancer Epidemiology Group, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, University Cancer Centre Hamburg (UCC), Hamburg, Germany

¹⁴Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition, Nuthetal, Germany

¹⁵Department of Urology, Vivantes Humboldt Hospital, Berlin, Germany

¹⁶WHO Collaborating Center for Food and Nutrition Policies, Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece

¹⁷Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece

Key words: urothelial cell carcinomas, folate, B-vitamins, biomarker, nested case-control

Abbreviations: CI: Confidence interval; EPIC: European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer; OR: odds ratio; UCC: urothelial cell carcinoma

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

†Deceased.

Grant sponsor: See manuscript; **Grant number:** See manuscript; **Grant sponsor:** Helga study - Nordforsk center of excellence programme in food and nutrition (Norway); **Grant sponsor:** Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Scientific Council, Regional Government of Skane (Sweden); **Grant sponsor:** Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Dutch Cancer Society (KWF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); **Grant sponsor:** Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Comprehensive Cancer Organisation The Netherlands (IKNL), LK Research Funds; **Grant sponsor:** Italian Association for Research on Cancer, National Research Council (Italy); **Grant sponsor:** Hellenic Health Foundation, Stavros Niarchos Foundation and the Hellenic Ministry of Health and Social Solidarity; **Grant sponsor:** Cancer Research UK, Medical Research Council (United Kingdom); **Grant sponsor:** Health Research Fund (FIS) of the Spanish Ministry of Health, The participating regional governments and institutions (Spain); **Grant sponsor:** Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); **Grant sponsor:** German Cancer Aid, German Cancer Research Center, Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany); **Grant sponsor:** Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM); **Grant sponsor:** Ligue contre le Cancer; **Grant sponsor:** Research Directorate-General 2005; **Grant sponsor:** European Commission
DOI: 10.1002/ijc.32165

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

History: Received 25 May 2018; Accepted 4 Jan 2019; Online 29 Jan 2019

Correspondence to: Dr. Alina Vrieling, Department for Health Evidence (Mailbox 133), Radboudumc, P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands, Tel.: +31-24-3616944; Fax: +31-24-3613505, E-mail: alina.vrieling@radboudumc.nl

- ¹⁸Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA
- ¹⁹Bureau of Epidemiologic Research, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ²⁰Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy
- ²¹Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Cancer and Prevention Institute (ISPO), Florence, Italy
- ²²Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Federico II University, Medical School, Naples, Italy
- ²³Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ²⁴Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Tromsø, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway
- ²⁵Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway – Institute of Population-based Cancer Research, Oslo, Norway
- ²⁶Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ²⁷Genetic Epidemiology Group, Folkhälsan Research Center and Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ²⁸Unit of Nutrition, Environment and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Barcelona, Spain
- ²⁹Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública), Madrid, Spain
- ³⁰Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Health Authority, IMIB-Arrixaca. Murcia University, Spain
- ³¹Instituto de Salud Pública de Navarra, IdiSNA, Pamplona, Spain
- ³²Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública. Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.GRANADA, Hospitales Universitarios de Granada/Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain
- ³³Laboratory Medicine, Department of Pathology, Skåne University Hospital Malmö, Malmö, Sweden
- ³⁴Department of Translational Medicine, Division of Clinical Chemistry, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden
- ³⁵Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Urology and Andrology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
- ³⁶Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- ³⁷MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- ³⁸Genetic Epidemiology Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Lyon, France
- ³⁹Department of Biobank Research, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
- ⁴⁰Department of Urology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Published associations between dietary folate and bladder cancer risk are inconsistent. Biomarkers may provide more accurate measures of nutrient status. This nested case–control analysis within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) investigated associations between pre-diagnostic serum folate, homocysteine, vitamins B6 and B12 and the risk of urothelial cell carcinomas of the bladder (UCC). A total of 824 patients with newly diagnosed UCC were matched with 824 cohort members. Serum folate, homocysteine, and vitamins B6 and B12 were measured. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for total, aggressive, and non-aggressive UCC were estimated using conditional logistic regression with adjustment for smoking status, smoking duration and intensity, and other potential confounders. Additionally, statistical interaction with smoking status was assessed. A halving in serum folate concentrations was moderately associated with risk of UCC (OR: 1.18; 95% CI: 0.98–1.43), in particular aggressive UCC (OR: 1.34; 95% CI: 1.02–1.75; *p*-heterogeneity = 0.19). Compared to never smokers in the highest quartile of folate concentrations, this association seemed only apparent among current smokers in the lowest quartile of folate concentrations (OR: 6.26; 95% CI: 3.62–10.81, *p*-interaction = 0.07). Dietary folate was not associated with aggressive UCC (OR: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.81–1.95; *p*-heterogeneity = 0.14). No association was observed between serum homocysteine, vitamins B6 and B12 and risk of UCC. This study suggests that lower serum folate concentrations are associated with increased UCC risk, in particular aggressive UCC. Residual confounding by smoking cannot be ruled out and these findings require confirmation in future studies with multiple measurements.

What's new?

Results of studies on dietary folate and bladder cancer risk are inconsistent. This nested case-control analysis within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition is one of the first prospective study investigating associations between pre-diagnostic serum folate, homocysteine, vitamins B6 and B12 and the risk of urothelial cell carcinomas of the bladder (UCC). This study suggests that lower serum folate concentrations are associated with increased risk of UCC, in particular aggressive UCC.

Introduction

Folate and related nutrients may influence cancer initiation and progression through their role in the one-carbon metabolism pathway, which is necessary for DNA synthesis, repair,

and methylation.¹ They are present in a wide range of foods, including fruit and vegetables. There is limited suggestive evidence that fruit and vegetable consumption is inversely associated with bladder cancer risk.² Case-control studies have

shown that intake of folate and other B-vitamins may also reduce risk of bladder cancer, but results from prospective studies are inconsistent.³ This inconsistency may partly be due to misclassification of self-reported intake. Thus far, only two studies used circulating blood concentrations of folate, which reflect both dietary and supplemental intakes. A case-control study found an inverse association for plasma folate concentrations and bladder cancer risk,⁴ but may be biased by changes in blood concentrations after diagnosis. A recent nested case-control study investigating pre-diagnostic plasma folate concentrations found no association with bladder cancer risk.⁵

In addition to folate status assessment methods, the heterogeneous nature of bladder cancer may contribute to inconsistent study results. Urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC), the most common morphological type of bladder cancer, varies in natural history. Stratification into different subgroups according to stage and grade may help to identify risk factors involved in different UCC pathways. It has been suggested that associations with cigarette smoking and employment in a high-risk occupation, the most well-known risk factors for UCC,⁶ are stronger for bladder tumours with a higher stage and grade.^{7–9}

However, other studies did not find different associations by tumour stage.^{10,11} Previous findings from our group have shown that plasma concentrations of carotenoids may particularly influence the risk of bladder tumours with a higher stage and grade.¹² Interestingly, some studies showed that global DNA methylation may also be differently associated with UCC subtypes, with higher methylation levels associated with a reduced risk of lower stage and grade tumours^{13,14} and intermediate methylation levels with a reduced risk of higher stage and grade tumours.¹⁴ Thus, the unavailability of folate-derived methyl groups may influence the risk of UCC through altered DNA methylation.

This nested case-control analysis within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) is one of the first prospective studies that investigated associations between pre-diagnostic serum compounds involved in one-carbon metabolism (folate, homocysteine, vitamin B6, vitamin B12) and the risk of UCC.

Subjects and Methods

Study population and data collection

The design and methods of the EPIC study have been described in detail previously.^{15,16} Briefly, EPIC is a cohort study comprising more than half a million people recruited in 10 European countries. Between 1992 and 2000, standardised questionnaires on diet, lifestyle questionnaires, and medical history were collected at enrolment.^{15–17} Intakes of dietary folic acid and vitamins B2, B6, and B12 were estimated using the updated EPIC Nutrient Data Base, obtained after standardisation from country-specific food composition tables.¹⁷ Study centres collected and stored blood samples according to a standardised protocol.¹⁵ Biological samples (74% of all respondents donated samples) are stored at the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, Lyon, France) in -196°C liquid nitrogen for all countries except

Denmark (-150°C , nitrogen vapour) and Sweden (-80°C , freezers). While protected from light after blood donation, the blood samples from Oxford and Norway were exposed to ambient temperatures for up to 48 h. As B-vitamin concentrations are partly degraded by such handling, the samples from these centres were excluded from the present analyses.^{15,16} All participants gave written informed consent, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of IARC and the local ethics committees in the participating countries.

Nested case-control design and selection of participants

Ascertainment of newly diagnosed bladder cancer patients has been described previously.¹² Participants were followed from study entry until a first primary bladder cancer diagnosis (code C67 according to the ICD-Oncology, third edition), end of follow-up (between 2002 and 2005 in different countries), last known contact date, cancer diagnosis, or death. Only (papillary) urothelial cell carcinomas (UCC morphology codes 8,120 and 8,130) were included in the analyses, as these comprise more than 90% of bladder cancers. Pathology reports of UCC cases were collected from each centre to obtain information on stage and differentiation grade of the tumour. Stage T1 and higher, carcinoma *in situ*, or WHO grade 3 carcinomas were classified as aggressive UCCs, whereas stage Ta grades 1 or 2 were classified as non-aggressive UCCs.¹⁸ Ninety-two percent of the cases could be classified as aggressive or non-aggressive UCC.

Each case was matched to one control by incidence density sampling from all cohort members alive without a cancer diagnosis of any kind (except non-melanoma skin cancer) at the time of diagnosis of the case. Controls were randomly selected from the population at risk at the time of diagnosis of the case.¹⁹ Matching criteria were sex, age at time of enrolment (± 3 years), study centre, date of blood collection (± 3 months), time of day of blood collection (± 2 h) and fasting status at the time of blood collection (< 3 , $3\text{--}6$, and > 6 h since last meal). Matched controls were unavailable for 11 cases and these individuals were excluded from analyses. Case sets including participants with missing blood samples ($n = 110$), no information on follow-up ($n = 6$) or smoking history ($n = 15$), from Oxford or Norway centre ($n = 49$), or including cases with other morphology codes than 8,120 and 8,130 ($n = 78$) were also excluded. Our study included 824 pairs of first primary UCC cases and their matched controls.

Laboratory assays

Serum samples were analysed at the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (Bilthoven, The Netherlands) for folate (vitamin B9) and vitamin B12 (cobalamin) by using an Access-2 immunoanalyzer (Beckman-Coulter). Vitamin B6 (pyridoxal-5-fosphate) was determined by reversed-phase HPLC analysis with fluorescence detection using a kit of Chromsystems (Munich, Germany). Vitamin B2 (riboflavin) could not be assayed because the amount of available blood was insufficient. The HPLC equipment was from Varian Association

(Middelburg, the Netherlands) equipped with a fluorescence detector from Jasco (Separations, Hendrik-Ido-Ambacht, The Netherlands). Homocysteine concentrations were determined with an enzyme cycling assay (Dialab, Neudorf, Austria) on an LX20-Pro autoanalyser (Beckman-Coulter, Woerden, The Netherlands). For quality control, one control sample with concentrations similar to the mean concentrations in controls was added to each batch of samples to assess interbatch reproducibility. There were 21 batches. Coefficients of variation for these quality-control samples were 6.1% for folate, 3.4% for homocysteine, 3.5% for vitamin B6, and 7.2% for vitamin B12. To minimise the influence of batch-to-batch variation, cases were analysed in the same analytic batch as their matched controls. Laboratory technicians were blinded to the case-control status of the samples, and biochemical analyses were done in one laboratory, with avoidance of between-laboratory method variability.

Statistical analysis

Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for UCC risk in relation to serum concentrations and dietary intakes were calculated using conditional logistic regression models stratified by the case-control set.²⁰ ORs were calculated using quartiles with cutoffs based on the distribution of serum and/or dietary intake levels of folate, homocysteine, vitamins B2, B6 and B12 in control subjects. We derived probability values for a linear trend across quartiles from regression models by using the median log₂-transformed serum and/or dietary levels within quartiles as a continuous variable.²¹ The data were also analysed as log₂-transformed continuous variables. The ORs of these log₂-transformed variables correspond to the increase in UCC risk with the halving of the serum concentration or dietary intake. To investigate departure from linearity, we also included a quadratic term for each log₂-transformed serum and dietary intake level and tested for a quadratic trend using the likelihood ratio test.

All models were adjusted for smoking status (never, former, current), duration of smoking (years), and lifetime intensity of smoking (cigarettes/day). The final models were additionally adjusted for energy intake (continuous), consumption of processed or red meat (continuous), alcohol intake (continuous), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active, unknown), BMI (continuous), and educational level (primary school or less, technical-professional school, secondary school, university, unspecified). Occupational history was not included in the final models, since it was only available for a subset of the population and did not affect the β estimates of serum and/or dietary levels within this subset. We also tested whether further adjustment for the other B-vitamins and homocysteine changed the risk estimates. As previous findings in the same study population suggest a reduced UCC risk with higher concentrations of the sum of plasma carotenoids¹² and an increased UCC risk with plasma α -tocopherol (data not shown), we also tested further adjustment for these micronutrients.

Polytomous conditional logistic regression stratified by the case-control set was used to assess the association of serum concentrations and dietary intakes with aggressive and non-aggressive UCC as separate endpoints.²² Statistical heterogeneity of associations across these subtypes was assessed with a likelihood ratio test, comparing a model in which the association could vary by subtype *versus* a model assuming the same association across subtypes.

Because smoking may not only confound but also modify the association,^{23,24} joint effects were determined for quartiles of serum one-carbon metabolism biomarkers in combination with smoking status (never, former, current) in relation to UCC risk. The combined category of high serum concentrations with never smoking was chosen as reference. Statistical interaction on a multiplicative scale was tested by introducing a product term between serum one-carbon metabolism biomarkers (quartiles) and smoking status. Similar models were used to test for interactions with BMI,²⁵ gender and alcohol intake.²⁶ Joint effects of folate, homocysteine, vitamin B6 and vitamin B12 were also conducted to evaluate whether the measured analytes interacted with each other. Analyses of joint effects were performed unadjusted and adjusted for smoking status, duration of smoking and lifetime intensity of smoking. To evaluate whether preclinical disease may have influenced the results, analyses were repeated after exclusion of cases along with their matched controls who were diagnosed within 2 years after recruitment ($n = 136$ pairs).

All statistical analyses were performed with SAS software (version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc). For all analyses, two-sided $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of cases diagnosed with UCC and their matched controls are shown in Table 1. UCC cases were more likely to smoke, were slightly less educated, reported a higher intake of alcohol and red and processed meat, and consumed less fruit and vegetables. Spearman correlations between the individual serum biomarkers and between the serum biomarkers and the dietary intake levels of the B-vitamins were statistically significant but generally weak, ranging from -0.23 to 0.38 .

A halving in serum folate concentrations was moderately associated with increased risk of UCC (OR: 1.18; 95% CI: 0.98–1.43) (Table 2). When stratified by prognostic subgroups of UCC, a halving in serum folate was positively associated with aggressive UCC (OR: 1.34; 95% CI: 1.02–1.75). Serum folate was not associated with non-aggressive UCC (OR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.78–1.37), and no statistically significant heterogeneity by tumour subtype was observed (p -heterogeneity = 0.19). Quartile analyses showed similar results. The associations of folate were similar for models only adjusted for smoking (Supporting Information Table S1) and remained after adjusting the final models for the blood concentrations of homocysteine, vitamin B6, vitamin B12, α -tocopherol or the sum of

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of urothelial cell carcinoma cases and their matched controls

	Cases (n = 824)	Controls ⁹ (n = 824)
<i>General characteristics</i>		
Men, n(%)	611 (74)	611 (74)
Women, n(%)	213 (26)	213 (26)
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.6 ± 4.0 ¹	26.4 ± 3.8
Physical active ² (%)	42	43
Age at recruitment (y)	58.4 ± 7.4	58.4 ± 7.4
<i>Smoking status</i>		
Never smokers (n, %)	156 (19)	316 (38)
Former smokers (n, %)	294 (36)	287 (35)
Lifetime number of cigarettes (cig/day)	10.2 ± 10.2	9.9 ± 10.2
Smoking duration (y)	25.3 ± 13.6	21.8 ± 12.9
Age at start smoking (y)	17.9 ± 5.6	19.0 ± 6.6
Time since quitting smoking (y)	13.4 ± 11.4	16.8 ± 11.8
Current smokers (n, %)	374 (45)	221 (27)
Lifetime number of cigarettes (cig/day)	14.1 ± 9.3	11.7 ± 8.8
Smoking duration (y)	38.7 ± 10.0	36.1 ± 11.6
Age at start smoking (y)	17.9 ± 5.9	19.6 ± 7.9
<i>Exposure to occupational carcinogens (n, %)³</i>		
Heavy metals	168 (12)	159 (11)
Aromatic amines	132 (9)	115 (8)
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)	115 (8)	94 (7)
Environmental tobacco smoke	37 (3)	38 (3)
<i>Educational level (n, %)</i>		
None or primary school	387 (47)	338 (41)
Technical/professional school	200 (24)	230 (28)
Secondary school	90 (11)	86 (10)
University degree	142 (17)	159 (20)
Not specified	5 (1)	11 (1)
<i>Serum concentrations micronutrients (median, 5th - 95th percentile)</i>		
Folate (nmol/L)	14.9 (7.2–31.4) ⁴	16.0 (7.9–34.9)
Homocysteine (μmol/L)	16.6 (9.4–26.3)	16.0 (8.7–25.6)
Vitamin B6 (μg/L)	37.5 (15.8–124.0)	40.1 (15.6–122.9)
Vitamin B12 (pmol/L)	275 (140–547)	270 (140–492)
<i>Dietary factors</i>		
Total energy (kcal/d)	2,266.1 ± 665.4	2,288.6 ± 716.3
Energy from fat (kcal/d)	784.9 ± 285.8	790.2 ± 296.9
Energy from non-fat (kcal/d)	1,481.2 ± 446.2	1,498.4 ± 484.5
Alcohol consumption (g/d)	19.2 ± 22.7	17.6 ± 21.4
Red and processed meat (g/d)	96.9 ± 56.7	95.2 ± 61.7
Fresh fruits (g/d)	195.5 ± 163.7	217.4 ± 189.9
Total vegetables (g/d)	169.5 ± 142.6	176.9 ± 125.2
Dietary folate intake (μg/d)	291.2 ± 106.9	302.6 ± 132.6
Dietary vitamin B2 (mg/d)	2.0 ± 0.63	2.04 ± 0.78
Dietary vitamin B6 intake (mg/d)	1.92 ± 0.80	1.91 ± 0.77
Dietary vitamin B12 intake (μg/d)	7.9 ± 6.0	7.4 ± 4.5

(Continues)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of urothelial cell carcinoma cases and their matched controls (Continued)

	Cases (n = 824)	Controls ⁹ (n = 824)
<i>Cases only</i>		
Age at diagnosis	63.2 ± 7.7	-
Time from blood draw to diagnosis (y)	4.6 ± 2.8	-
Urothelial cell carcinomas (n,%) ⁵		
Aggressive ⁶	390 (47)	-
Non-aggressive ⁷	374 (45)	-
Unknown ⁸	60 (8)	-

¹Mean ± SD (all such values).

²Cambridge Physical Activity Index incorporates occupational and nonoccupational physical activity.

³Data were not available for Umea (Sweden), Norway, Naples (Italy), Utrecht (Netherlands), and France.

⁴Median; 5th – 95th percentile in parentheses (all such values).

⁵Includes urothelial cell papillomas and carcinomas (morphology codes 8,120 and 8,130, and behaviour coded as uncertain whether benign or malignant, carcinoma *in situ*, and/or malignant) but excludes inverted papillomas (8,121/1).

⁶Includes all stage T1 or higher, carcinoma *in situ*, or WHO 1973 grade 3 carcinomas (including Ta grade 3).

⁷Includes all stage Ta grade 1 or Ta grade 2 carcinomas.

⁸Sixty urothelial cell carcinomas could not be classified as aggressive or nonaggressive urothelial cell carcinomas because of lack of information on stage or grade.

⁹Controls were matched to cases by sex, age at baseline, study centre, date and time of blood collection, and fasting status.

carotenoids (data not shown). Serum concentrations of homocysteine, vitamin B6, or vitamin B12 were not related to UCC risk (Table 2; Supporting Information Table S1). No evidence for a quadratic relationship was found (data not shown). The positive and null associations persisted after exclusion of cases diagnosed during the first 2 years of follow-up (data not shown).

For a halving in dietary folate levels, an increased risk was seen for aggressive UCC in the smoking adjusted model (OR: 1.45; 95% CI: 1.03–2.04; Supporting Information Table 2) which was attenuated in the fully adjusted model (OR: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.81–1.95; Table 3). No significant heterogeneity by tumour subtype was observed (*p*-heterogeneity = 0.07 and 0.14, respectively). Intakes of dietary vitamin B2, vitamin B6 and vitamin B12 were not associated with UCC risk (Table 3). There was some indication that the association of dietary folate and dietary vitamin B6 may be non-linear (*P* ≤ 0.05 for a model with *vs.* without a quadratic term).

We further studied whether there was statistical interaction between serum folate and smoking status in relation to UCC risk. The elevated risk of UCC seemed only apparent for current smokers with lowest folate concentrations compared to never smokers with highest folate concentrations in analyses unadjusted (OR for lowest *vs.* highest quartile (OR_{Q1-Q4}): 6.26; 95% CI: 3.62–10.81; *p*-interaction = 0.07; Table 4) and adjusted (OR_{Q1-Q4}: 2.02; 95% CI: 1.07–3.82; *p*-interaction = 0.11; Supporting Information Table 3) for smoking duration and intensity. In all other groups, risks were not significantly elevated. These data suggest that there is statistical interaction between serum folate and smoking status in relation to risk of UCC. No interaction with smoking status was observed for the associations of homocysteine, vitamin B6, and vitamin B12 with risk of UCC. Interactions on a multiplicative scale between serum folate and these three biomarkers were not significant (*p*-interaction>0.05). Further, no statistical interaction

was observed between all four biomarkers and alcohol intake, gender or BMI in relation to UCC risk (*p*-interaction>0.05) (data not shown).

Discussion

In this large prospective study, lower serum folate concentrations were associated with an increased risk of UCC, in particular aggressive UCC. The positive association with lower serum folate concentrations seemed only apparent in current smokers. Dietary folate intake was associated with an increased risk of aggressive UCC in the smoking adjusted models but this association was attenuated in the fully adjusted models. Other nutrients involved in the one-carbon metabolism pathway, *i.e.* serum homocysteine, vitamin B6, or vitamin B12, were not associated with UCC risk.

Previous findings of the relation between dietary intake of B-vitamins and the risk of bladder cancer have yielded inconsistent results. A meta-analysis observed an inverse association between folate intake and bladder cancer risk in six case-control studies (OR = 0.73; 95% CI 0.57–0.89), but not in seven cohort studies (relative risk = 0.96; 95% CI 0.81–1.10) (3). Also a recent cohort study found no association of dietary intake of folate and other B-group vitamins with UCC risk, and did not observe heterogeneity across UCC subtypes.²⁷ Self-reported dietary folate intake may be a less accurate measure of folate status than blood folate concentrations, which reflect both dietary and supplemental intakes and is directly related to bioavailability. One case-control study from Taiwan with 171 bladder cancer cases reported a decreased bladder cancer risk with higher plasma folate concentrations (4). A recent nested case-control study from Australia with 363 UCC cases found no association between pre-diagnostic plasma folate concentrations and UCC risk (5).

Table 2. Serum concentrations of folate, homocysteine, vitamin B6 and B12 and the risk of urothelial cell carcinomas¹

	No. of cases/ controls	Total UCC ²	No. of cases/ controls	Aggressive UCC ²	No. of cases/ controls	Non-aggressive UCC ²
Folate (nmol/L) ³						
≤ 11.92	245/198	1.37 (0.97–1.92)	115/83	1.66 (1.02–2.70)	116/106	0.97 (0.58–1.64)
11.95–16.02	205/199	1.30 (0.94–1.79)	91/100	1.23 (0.76–2.00)	98/85	1.24 (0.75–2.04)
16.03–21.32	174/202	1.04 (0.76–1.42)	86/91	1.12 (0.70–1.79)	74/98	0.81 (0.50–1.32)
≥ 21.33	175/200	1.00	84/102	1.00	77/76	1.00
<i>p</i> for trend ⁴		0.04		0.04		0.69
Continuous after log2-transformation ⁵		1.18 (0.98–1.43)		1.34 (1.02–1.75)		1.03 (0.78–1.37)
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity ⁶					0.19	
Homocysteine (μmol/L) ⁷						
≤ 13.32	177/200	0.80 (0.57–1.15)	72/85	0.80 (0.48–1.34)	88/92	1.02 (0.60–1.72)
13.33–15.94	176/198	0.74 (0.54–1.03)	79/92	0.65 (0.41–1.03)	83/91	0.90 (0.54–1.50)
15.95–19.01	215/202	0.96 (0.72–1.28)	110/113	0.79 (0.53–1.18)	92/76	1.38 (0.87–2.20)
≥ 19.05	232/200	1.00	119/90	1.00	99/103	1.00
<i>p</i> for trend ⁴		0.16		0.31		0.80
Continuous after log2-transformation ⁵		0.85 (0.65–1.11)		0.84 (0.56–1.25)		0.87 (0.60–1.26)
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity ⁶					0.89	
Vitamin B6 (μg/L) ⁸						
≤ 27.96	226/186	1.11 (0.79–1.56)	95/89	1.00 (0.62–1.63)	115/82	1.17 (0.71–1.94)
28.04–39.86	184/187	1.13 (0.81–1.58)	91/76	1.50 (0.92–2.45)	78/100	0.79 (0.48–1.31)
39.96–58.41	173/187	1.03 (0.75–1.43)	81/89	1.04 (0.65–1.67)	75/83	0.90 (0.55–1.47)
≥ 59.24	166/189	1.00	82/95	1.00	76/79	1.00
<i>p</i> for trend ⁴		0.48		0.72		0.58
Continuous after log2-transformation ⁵		0.96 (0.85–1.09)		0.94 (0.78–1.13)		0.96 (0.80–1.15)
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity ⁶					0.85	
Vitamin B12 (pmol/L) ⁹						
≤ 214	204/195	0.94 (0.69–1.28)	99/99	0.86 (0.54–1.37)	88/81	0.92 (0.58–1.44)
215–270	182/204	0.84 (0.61–1.15)	90/99	0.77 (0.48–1.23)	78/89	0.87 (0.54–1.39)
271–346	207/201	1.02 (0.76–1.37)	94/88	1.03 (0.68–1.57)	95/98	0.92 (0.59–1.44)
≥ 347	212/205	1.00	97/94	1.00	105/98	1.00
<i>p</i> for trend ⁴		0.53		0.40		0.67
Continuous after log2-transformation ⁵		0.87 (0.71–1.05)		0.88 (0.67–1.17)		0.81 (0.61–1.09)
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity ⁶					0.69	

¹The Total UCC group includes urothelial cell papillomas and carcinomas (morphology codes 8,120 and 8,130, and behaviour coded as uncertain whether benign or malignant, carcinoma *in situ*, and/or malignant) but excludes inverted papillomas (8,121/1). The Aggressive UCC category includes all stage T1 or higher, carcinoma *in situ*, or WHO 1973 grade 3 carcinomas (including Ta grade 3). The group Non-aggressive UCC category includes all stage Ta grade 1 or Ta grade 2 carcinomas.

²All values are odds ratios; 95% CIs in parentheses. Analyses were matched for age at blood collection, study centre, sex, date and time of blood collection, and fasting status and further adjusted for smoking status, duration and intensity of smoking, energy intake, red meat intake, processed meat intake, alcohol intake, physical activity, BMI, educational level.

³For 46 cases and/or controls information is lacking on serum folate.

⁴Test for trend was performed using the median log2 value of each quartile.

⁵Values were derived from adjusted models as described in footnote 2 for risk associated with a halving in serum concentration.

⁶Likelihood ratio test of heterogeneity, testing for a common association across subtypes.

⁷For 44 cases and/or controls information is lacking on serum homocysteine.

⁸For 146 cases and/or controls information is lacking on serum vitamin B6.

⁹For 34 cases and/or controls information is lacking on serum vitamin B12.

Folate plays an important role in DNA synthesis, repair, and methylation.¹ Low plasma folate concentrations may increase the risk of UCC and other cancers by inducing DNA hypomethylation, potentially leading to dysregulation of proto-oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes.¹ UCC is a complex disease with

etiologic heterogeneity and appears to occur *via* distinct molecular pathways. Interestingly, besides a differential association of global DNA methylation with UCC subtypes,^{13,14} a high-throughput DNA methylation analysis of UCC tumours also revealed distinct methylation patterns.²⁸ Non-aggressive

Table 3. Dietary folate, vitamins B2, B6 and B12 and the risk of urothelial cell carcinomas¹

	No. of cases/ controls	Total UCC ²	No. of cases/ controls	Aggressive UCC ²	No. of cases/ controls	Non-aggressive UCC ²
Dietary folate (µg/d)						
≤ 226.74	225/204	1.18 (0.76–1.84)	98/80	1.42 (0.78–2.59)	110/107	1.00 (0.56–1.81)
227.40–282.19	216/206	1.17 (0.81–1.69)	104/98	1.25 (0.76–2.06)	99/98	1.10 (0.65–1.84)
282.46–350.55	201/206	1.19 (0.86–1.65)	98/107	1.28 (0.80–2.03)	85/83	1.12 (0.68–1.83)
≥ 350.71	180/206	1.00	88/103	1.00	80/86	1.00
<i>p</i> for trend ³		0.47		0.27		0.99
Continuous after log2-transformation ⁴		1.03 (0.73–1.47)		1.26 (0.81–1.95)		0.85 (0.53–1.36)
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity ⁵					0.14	
Dietary vitamin B2 (mg/d)						
≤ 1.43	233/205	1.15 (0.76–1.75)	110/103	1.12 (0.63–1.97)	103/86	1.11 (0.63–1.96)
1.41–1.78	181/206	0.87 (0.61–1.25)	96/88	1.15 (0.71–1.88)	71/104	0.63 (0.37–1.07)
1.78–2.25	207/205	1.10 (0.80–1.51)	92/90	1.22 (0.77–1.94)	100/97	1.02 (0.64–1.63)
≥ 2.25	201/206	1.00	90/107	1.00	100/87	1.00
<i>p</i> for trend ³		0.68		0.69		0.87
Continuous after log2-transformation ⁴		0.91 (0.67–1.25)		0.96 (0.64–1.43)		0.83 (0.55–1.26)
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity ⁵					0.57	
Dietary vitamin B6 (mg/d)						
≤ 1.55	203/205	0.98 (0.61–1.59)	91/90	1.08 (0.58–1.99)	92/100	0.84 (0.45–1.60)
1.56–1.95	210/205	1.16 (0.78–1.71)	97/91	1.27 (0.75–2.14)	101/99	1.15 (0.67–1.98)
1.96–2.40	232/207	1.24 (0.88–1.74)	121/104	1.42 (0.89–2.26)	94/86	1.19 (0.72–1.96)
≥ 2.40	177/205	1.00	79/103	1.00	87/89	1.00
<i>p</i> for trend ³		0.80		0.95		0.53
Continuous after log2-transformation ⁴		0.84 (0.56–1.26)		0.87 (0.53–1.42)		0.79 (0.47–1.34)
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity ⁵					0.72	
Dietary vitamin B12 (µg/d)						
≤ 4.76	182/205	0.79 (0.53–1.16)	87/98	0.71 (0.42–1.21)	81/92	0.92 (0.53–1.59)
4.76–6.58	211/205	0.94 (0.67–1.33)	95/95	0.86 (0.53–1.40)	94/87	1.06 (0.65–1.74)
6.63–8.93	194/206	0.92 (0.67–1.27)	99/104	0.97 (0.62–1.51)	81/94	0.80 (0.50–1.28)
≥ 8.91	235/206	1.00	107/91	1.00	118/101	1.00
<i>p</i> for trend ³		0.26		0.19		0.90
Continuous after log2-transformation ⁴		0.84 (0.70–1.02)		0.80 (0.62–1.04)		0.85 (0.65–1.11)
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity ⁵					0.73	

¹The Total UCC group includes urothelial cell papillomas and carcinomas (morphology codes 8,120 and 8,130, and behaviour coded as uncertain whether benign or malignant, carcinoma *in situ*, and/or malignant) but excludes inverted papillomas (8,121/1). The Aggressive UCC category includes all stage T1 or higher, carcinoma *in situ*, or WHO 1973 grade 3 carcinomas (including Ta grade 3). The group Non-aggressive UCC category includes all stage Ta grade 1 or Ta grade 2 carcinomas.

²All values are odds ratios; 95% CIs in parentheses. Analyses were matched for age at blood collection, study centre, sex, date and time of blood collection, and fasting status and further adjusted for smoking status, duration and intensity of smoking, energy intake, red meat intake, processed meat intake, alcohol intake, physical activity, BMI, educational level.

³Test for trend was performed using the median log2 value of each quartile.

⁴Values were derived from adjusted models as described in footnote 2 for risk associated with a halving in dietary intake.

⁵Likelihood ratio test of heterogeneity, testing for a common association across subtypes.

tumours displayed a hypomethylated phenotype whereas invasive tumours showed widespread hypermethylation, confirming that the two pathways differ epigenetically.²⁸ Due to the relatively large number of cases in our study, we were able to analyse prognostic subgroups of UCC according to tumour aggressiveness. We found stronger associations for folate with aggressive UCC, which may have been missed in previous studies limited to total UCC. It is known that

aggressive, high-grade bladder tumours frequently show defects in the *TP53* and *RB* tumour suppressor genes.²⁹ In laboratory models, folate deficiency appears to induce *p53* mutations.¹ Moreover, even in the absence of genomic hypomethylation, folate depletion has been shown to induce hypomethylation in the coding region of *p53* while supplemental folate has been shown to revert the hypomethylation of this region.¹ This potential mechanism suggests that folate

Table 4. Joint effects of smoking status and serum folate

	Smoking status						<i>P</i> -interaction ³
	Never smokers		Former smokers		Current smokers		
	No. of cases/ controls	Total UCC ^{1,2}	No. of cases/ controls	Total UCC ^{1,2}	No. of cases/ controls	Total UCC ^{1,2}	
Serum folate (nmol/L)							
≤ 11.92	35/74	0.94 (0.52–1.71)	63/64	2.36 (1.34–4.17)	148/61	6.26 (3.62–10.81)	
11.95–16.02	46/76	1.33 (0.77–2.28)	77/67	2.83 (1.65–4.87)	82/56	3.33 (1.93–5.75)	
16.03–21.32	29/82	0.72 (0.41–1.28)	72/65	2.54 (1.48–4.37)	74/55	3.39 (1.94–5.90)	
≥ 21.33	42/77	1.00	75/80	2.05 (1.19–3.52)	58/44	2.88 (1.64–5.06)	0.07

¹The Total UCC group includes urothelial cell papillomas and carcinomas (morphology codes 8,120 and 8,130, and behaviour coded as uncertain whether benign or malignant, carcinoma *in situ*, and/or malignant) but excludes inverted papillomas (8,121/1).

²All values are odds ratios; 95% CIs in parentheses. Analyses were matched for age at blood collection, study centre, sex, date and time of blood collection, and fasting status.

³Statistical interaction on a multiplicative scale was tested by introducing a product term between serum one-carbon metabolism biomarkers (quartiles) and smoking status in the model.

can modulate aggressive bladder carcinogenesis through DNA methylation. Within the EPIC cohort, associations for plasma carotenoids were particularly driven by aggressive UCC as well.¹² However, the precise mechanisms underlying the association with aggressive bladder cancer risk remain unresolved. Efficient one-carbon metabolism requires not only folate, but also vitamins B2, B6 and B12. These nutrients interact metabolically with folate in the one-carbon metabolism process, and may influence cancer risk.¹ Our study found, however, no evidence for an association of blood concentrations and dietary intake of vitamins B2, B6 and B12 with UCC risk.

Smoking may affect B-vitamin status and reduce bioavailability of folate. Current smokers have lower circulating folate concentrations, even after correction for folate intake. This suggests that smoking alters the systemic uptake or metabolism of folate.^{23,24} Previous findings suggest that the combined effects of smoking with decreased folate levels can induce increased chromosomal damage. The higher proportion of cells with chromosome aberrations in cigarette smokers was attributed to lower folate levels in smokers compared to non-smokers.^{30,31} Thus, DNA damage induced by smoking may be modulated by the folate metabolic pathway. In the present study, a statistically significant increased risk of UCC was only observed in current smokers with lowest folate concentrations. Consistent results were seen in a case-control study from the United States where current smokers with lower folate intake tended to have a greater risk of bladder cancer compared to current smokers with higher folate intake.³² If an effect of serum folate exists, our study suggests that it is particularly prominent in smokers with lowest folate concentrations. No statistical interaction with alcohol intake, gender, and BMI was observed. However, the observed associations should be interpreted with caution because we conducted multiple comparisons and because residual confounding by smoking cannot be excluded.

Diets with a low intake of fruits and vegetables, leading to insufficient folate intake and serum levels, may reflect an

unhealthy lifestyle.³³ In our study, participants with lower serum folate concentrations tended to have an unhealthier lifestyle. However, this is unlikely to explain the observed associations of serum folate with UCC risk, since risk estimates remained unchanged after adjustment for several lifestyle factors. On the other hand, the observed associations may reflect other unmeasured lifestyle factors associated with both low serum folate concentrations and high risk of UCC. Further, exposure to potential risk factors may have changed during follow-up, leading to residual confounding. We could not take this into account, as replicate measurements were not available. Higher folate concentrations may also be a marker of a concerted effect of multiple bioactive compounds in fruit and vegetables. Although folate sources are not restricted to fruit and vegetables, blood folate concentrations may be a useful biomarker for the intake of fruit and vegetables in populations consuming unfortified foods.³⁴ At the time of data collection, no European country had introduced mandatory folic acid fortification, although voluntary fortification was accepted in some countries, including France and the UK.³⁵ The use of dietary supplements could also affect serum concentrations of micronutrients. However, a study on the use of dietary supplements in a sub-sample of the EPIC cohort indicated that folic acid supplements were not frequently consumed at the time of data collection.³⁶ Also, no substantial change in risk estimates was observed by simple adjustment for dietary supplement use (yes vs. no) (data not shown). Therefore, serum folate in our study seems to be largely determined by natural folates from foods. Despite the low correlation between serum concentrations and dietary intakes of folate (0.22), we observed quite similar risk estimates in the smoking adjusted but not the fully adjusted models. The weak correlation may be explained by errors in the food composition table from which folate was derived and biases in the assessment of dietary intake. Other explanations may be related to the bioavailability of folate that is influenced by several factors including smoking.

The strengths of our study include its prospective design, a relatively large number of incident UCC cases, the wide range in blood concentrations of one-carbon metabolism related nutrients, and the collection of blood samples before cancer diagnosis. However, some limitations of the present study need to be discussed. Our analyses were based on single measurements of nutrients involved in the one-carbon metabolism at baseline, assuming stable serum concentrations during follow-up. Blood concentrations may differ over time because of day-to-day variation and long-term changes within persons, leading to an attenuation of the associations. A reliability study in a subsample of the two Dutch cohorts within EPIC showed that over 2–5 years, serum homocysteine and vitamin B12 were highly reliable biomarkers with intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) of 0.91 and 0.75, respectively.³⁷ The ICC for serum folate was 0.45 and for vitamin B6 was 0.38. These measures should be reliable enough to detect moderate associations. Serum folate is an indicator of more recent folate intake, while red blood cell folate is considered to reflect average concentration over the erythrocyte life span (about 120 days).^{38,39} As red blood cells are less subject to short-term dietary changes and reflect long-term folate status, the observed associations for serum folate may be even stronger for red blood cell folate. We did not have information on polymorphisms in *MTHFR* or other relevant genes, which play an important role in DNA methylation and are determinants of serum folate concentrations.⁴⁰ Possible statistical interaction between serum folate concentrations and polymorphisms in *MTHFR* in relation to UCC risk needs to be investigated.

In conclusion, our study suggests that lower serum folate concentrations may increase the risk of UCC, in particular aggressive UCC. This increased risk was only seen in current smokers. However, residual confounding by smoking cannot be excluded and confirmation in prospective studies with multiple measurements is warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the European Commission: Public Health and Consumer Protection Directorate 1993–2004; Research Directorate-General 2005; Ligue contre le Cancer, Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France); German Cancer Aid, German Cancer

Research Center, Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany); Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); Health Research Fund (FIS) of the Spanish Ministry of Health, The participating regional governments and institutions (Spain); Cancer Research UK, Medical Research Council (United Kingdom); Hellenic Health Foundation, Stavros Niarchos Foundation and the Hellenic Ministry of Health and Social Solidarity; Italian Association for Research on Cancer, National Research Council (Italy); Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Comprehensive Cancer Organisation The Netherlands (IKNL), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Dutch Cancer Society (KWF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Scientific Council, Regional Government of Skane (Sweden); Helga study - Nordforsk center of excellence programme in food and nutrition (Norway). The study sponsors had no role in the design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data, nor in writing or submitting the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

The authors' responsibilities were as follows - AV, HBB-D-M, MMR, EK, KKA, FLB, EHJ, and LAK: statistical analyses and drafting of the manuscript; HBB-D-M: recruitment and follow-up of the Bilthoven cohort; NR and ATjønneland: recruitment and follow-up of the Aarhus and Copenhagen cohort; MCB-R, CC: recruitment and follow-up of the French cohort; JC-C and RK: recruitment and follow-up of the Heidelberg cohort; SW and HB: recruitment and follow-up of the Potsdam cohort; A Trichopoulou, PL, and DT: recruitment and follow-up of the Greek cohort; SS, DP, and SP: recruitment and follow-up of the 5 Italian cohorts; PHP: recruitment and follow-up of the Utrecht cohort; EW and GS: recruitment and follow-up of the Norway cohort; PJ, M-DC, EA, M-JS: recruitment and follow-up of the 5 Spanish cohorts; RE and JM: recruitment and follow-up of the Malmö cohort; BL: recruitment and follow-up of the Umeå cohort; K-TK and NW: recruitment and follow-up of the Cambridge and Oxford cohort; and PB and ER: coordination of the entire EPIC collaboration. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the results and preparation and approval of the final manuscript. None of the authors had a conflict of interest.

References

- Choi SW, Mason JB. Folate and carcinogenesis: an integrated scheme. *J Nutr* 2000;130: 129–32.
- World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and bladder cancer. Available at dietandcancerreport.org.
- He H, Shui B. Folate intake and risk of bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 2014;65:286–92.
- Huang YK, Pu YS, Chung CJ, et al. Plasma folate level, urinary arsenic methylation profiles, and urothelial carcinoma susceptibility. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2008;46:929–38.
- Bassett JK, Brinkman MT, Dugue PA, et al. Circulating concentrations of B group vitamins and urothelial cell carcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.31927>.
- Leppert JT, Shvarts O, Kawaoka K, et al. Prevention of bladder cancer: a review. *Eur Urol* 2006;49: 226–34.
- Wallerand H, Bakkar AA, de Medina SG, et al. Mutations in TP53, but not FGFR3, in urothelial cell carcinoma of the bladder are influenced by smoking: contribution of exogenous versus endogenous carcinogens. *Carcinogenesis* 2005;26:177–84.
- Serretta V, Morgia G, Altieri V, et al. Preliminary report of a multicentric study on environmental risk factors in Ta-T1 transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. A study from Gruppo Studi Tumori Urologici foundation. *Urol Int* 2006;77: 152–8.

9. Jiang X, Castela JE, Yuan JM, et al. Cigarette smoking and subtypes of bladder cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2012;130:896–901.
10. Sturgeon SR, Hartge P, Silverman DT, et al. Associations between bladder cancer risk factors and tumor stage and grade at diagnosis. *Epidemiology* 1994;5:218–25.
11. Hayes RB, Friedell GH, Zahm SH, et al. Are the known bladder cancer risk-factors associated with more advanced bladder cancer? *Cancer Causes Control* 1993;4:157–62.
12. Ros MM, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Kampman E, et al. Plasma carotenoids and vitamin C concentrations and risk of urothelial cell carcinoma in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;96:902–10.
13. Wilhelm CS, Kelsey KT, Butler R, et al. Implications of LINE1 methylation for bladder cancer risk in women. *Clin Cancer Res* 2010;16:1682–9.
14. Dugué P-A, Brinkman MT, Milne RL, et al. Genome-wide measures of DNA methylation in peripheral blood and the risk of urothelial cell carcinoma: a prospective nested case–control study. *Br J Cancer* 2016;115:664–73.
15. Riboli E, Kaaks R. The EPIC project: rationale and study design. European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Int J Epidemiol* 1997;26(Suppl 1):S6–14.
16. Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, et al. European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr* 2002;5(6B):1113–24.
17. Slimani N, Deharveng G, Unwin I, et al. The EPIC nutrient database project (ENDB): a first attempt to standardize nutrient databases across the 10 European countries participating in the EPIC study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2007;61:1037–56.
18. Kiemeny LA, Thorlacius S, Sulem P, et al. Sequence variant on 8q24 confers susceptibility to urinary bladder cancer. *Nat Genet* 2008;40:1307–12.
19. Richardson DB. An incidence density sampling program for nested case-control analyses. *Occup Environ Med* 2004;61:e59.
20. Knol MJ, Vandenbroucke JP, Scott P, et al. What do case-control studies estimate? Survey of methods and assumptions in published case-control research. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;168:1073–81.
21. Jansen MC, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Feskens EJ, et al. Quantity and variety of fruit and vegetable consumption and cancer risk. *Nutr Cancer* 2004;48:142–8.
22. Wang M, Spiegelman D, Kuchiba A, et al. Statistical methods for studying disease subtype heterogeneity. *Stat Med* 2016;35:782–800.
23. Piyathilake CJ, Macaluso M, Hine RJ, et al. Local and systemic effects of cigarette smoking on folate and vitamin B-12. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1994;60:559–66.
24. Gabriel HE, Crott JW, Ghandour H, et al. Chronic cigarette smoking is associated with diminished folate status, altered folate form distribution, and increased genetic damage in the buccal mucosa of healthy adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;83:835–41.
25. Kant AK. Interaction of body mass index and attempt to lose weight in a national sample of US adults: association with reported food and nutrient intake, and biomarkers. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003;57:249–59.
26. Halsted CH, Villanueva JA, Devlin AM, et al. Metabolic interactions of alcohol and folate. *J Nutr* 2002;132(8 Suppl):2367S–72S.
27. Dugue PA, Brinkman MT, Hodge AM, et al. Dietary intake of nutrients involved in one-carbon metabolism and risk of urothelial cell carcinoma: a prospective cohort study. *Int J Cancer* 2018;143:298–306.
28. Wolff EM, Chihara Y, Pan F, et al. Unique DNA methylation patterns distinguish noninvasive and invasive urothelial cancers and establish an epigenetic field defect in premalignant tissue. *Cancer Res* 2010;70:8169–78.
29. Castillo-Martin M, Domingo-Domenech J, Karni-Schmidt O, et al. Molecular pathways of urothelial development and bladder tumorigenesis. *Urol Oncol* 2010;28:401–8.
30. Chen AT, Reidy JA, Annett JL, et al. Increased chromosome fragility as a consequence of blood folate levels, smoking status, and coffee consumption. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 1989;13:319–24.
31. Blount BC, Mack MM, Wehr CM, et al. Folate deficiency causes uracil misincorporation into human DNA and chromosome breakage: implications for cancer and neuronal damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997;94:3290–5.
32. Schabath MB, Spitz MR, Lerner SP, et al. Case-control analysis of dietary folate and risk of bladder cancer. *Nutr Cancer* 2005;53:144–51.
33. Dehghan M, Akhtari-Danesh N, Merchant AT. Factors associated with fruit and vegetable consumption among adults. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 2011;24:128–34.
34. Brevik A, Vollset SE, Tell GS, et al. Plasma concentration of folate as a biomarker for the intake of fruit and vegetables: the Hordaland homocysteine study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;81:434–9.
35. Bouckaert KP, Slimani N, Nicolas G, et al. Critical evaluation of folate data in European and international databases: recommendations for standardization in international nutritional studies. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2011;55:166–80.
36. Skeie G, Braaten T, Hjartaker A, et al. Use of dietary supplements in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition calibration study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2009;63(Suppl 4):S226–38.
37. Leenders M, Ros MM, Sluijs I, et al. Reliability of selected antioxidants and compounds involved in one-carbon metabolism in two dutch cohorts. *Nutr Cancer* 2013;65:17–24.
38. Drogan D, Klipstein-Grobusch K, Wans S, et al. Plasma folate as marker of folate status in epidemiological studies: the European investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC)-Potsdam study. *Br J Nutr* 2004;92:489–96.
39. Sanderson P, McNulty H, Mastroiacovo P, et al. Folate bioavailability: UK Food Standards Agency workshop report. *Br J Nutr* 2003;90:473–9.
40. de Batlle J, Matejcic M, Chajes V, et al. Determinants of folate and vitamin B12 plasma levels in the French E3N-EPIC cohort. *Eur J Nutr* 2018;57:751–60.